IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 697 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2677 OF 2005

Brijlal Gaji Gupta & others. ... Petitioners
Versus.
State of Maharahstr & others. ... Respondents.

Shri Madhav Jamdar for the Petitioners.

Shri P.G.Sawant A.G.P. for Respondent No.1.

Shri M.P.S.Rao with Bodke i/by M/s.Chitnis Vaithy for Respondent No.6.

Shri R.V.Govilkar for Respondent No.7.

Ms.Aruna Sawla for the B.M.C.

CORAM : H.L.GOKHALE, &
ABHAY S. OKA, JJ.

DATED : 9th JANUARY, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Shri Jamdar in support of the Notice of Motion. Shri Rao appears for Respondent No.6, who is the developer appointed by MHADA to develop the concerned scheme under the D.C.Regulation 33(7). We are told that in the two plots concerned there were originally 89 and 252 tenements. Shri Rao makes a statement that until all of them are rehoused in the developed project, no outsider will be put in. He further states that as far as 17 members whose names are listed in Exh.1 to the Notice of Motion are concerned, they will be put in possession of the concerned tenements in Building No.4 by 30th April 2006. Mr.Rao

states that no arrears is payable to MHADA and if at all there are any arrears, he will see to be that the same are cleared and that the rehousing of the tenants is not hindered. In view of the statements made by Shri Rao, Notice of Motion stands disposed of. No further orders.

(H.L.Gokhale, J.)

(Abhay S.Oka, J.)